

Your Preference	Your Reasons
I object to the proposals.	<p>I cannot object strongly enough to this proposed development! I work in the property sector and take a great interest in new developments in and around Edinburgh in particular, some of which I think are fantastic. However, I think this proposal is simply ridiculous/outrageous!! My main objections are:</p> <p>1) This is the last piece of Greenbelt land between Edinburgh and Musselburgh. If this development goes ahead, Musselburgh will effectively be swallowed-up by Edinburgh... and this will open the door for any number of similar planning applications, creating an ever-expanding urban mass. When will it end?? There are many areas within the city's boundaries that should be developed way, way before any development is even considered on a Greenbelt site e.g. Western Harbour, Granton, etc.</p> <p>2) The impact of this proposed development on traffic congestion in the surrounding area would be horrific. I can only think that no-one from EDI Group has ever driven around this area at any time of day, let alone rush hour. There are already long tailbacks through Portobello, along the length of Sir Harry Lauder Road, all along Milton Road West, Milton Link, etc... and there is already a real problem with traffic where Brunstane Road and Brunstane Road South meet Milton Road East. I don't know who has done any traffic impact assessment, but they are truly living in cloud cuckoo land if they think this area could possibly cope with the increase in vehicles that this development would bring.</p> <p>I could go on, but these are my main objections... which should be enough reason on their own!! I barely have a minute to myself these days, but I feel so strongly about objecting to this proposal that I wanted to write to you before your deadline. I really hope that the other residents and businesses in the area feel similar and have managed to contact you.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I bought my house precisely because I was surrounded by nice walks and cycle options. I regularly walk down the Brunstane Burn and enjoy the sense of openness from the adjacent fields. I already feel deprived of such a pleasant walk to Newcraighall village from Gilberstoun due to one path being cut where houses now stand. I do still enjoy that sense of openness on my left looking over the fields to the sea when walking from Gilberstoun to the Newcraighall road. I deeply object to having this area of official Green Belt built upon.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>Loss of Green Belt  Loss of farming land  Ludicrous additional burden onto local road system  Dubious survey work  And yet another way for CoE, through EDI, to sell off land for huge profit.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I object to the proposal because of the large number of residential houses to be built. This will have an impact on congested roads in an area where there are already long queues of traffic. The siting of the new Portobello High school on Milton Road will also add to the number of cars using this route. The development at the Wisp will also contribute to increased traffic. We are also witnessing an unacceptable reduction in green spaces in the Edinburgh area.</p> <p>I support the building of the much needed new primary school but the proposed number of new houses is not acceptable.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>Preserve our dwindling green spaces. Develop on brown field sites. finish Craigmillar Niddrie first. Move the jobs to where people live not the other way round. Introduce a land tax - see how quickly land will become available.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>The traffic in the area is already horrendous with bottle necks everywhere. I do not want to lose the green field area. All amenities will be put under additional pressure. Etc Etc Etc.</p>

I object to the proposals.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Cars using access road from Brunstane Fields will cause congestion+pollution. Traffic on Milton Link face queues +those turning RIGHT have little or no space causing problems with traffic crossing to Harry Lauder road.</li> <li>2. Brunstane Fields is Green Belt -once built on is lost forever.</li> <li>3. Milton Road East has no bus lanes therefore delay to passengers.</li> <li>4. Trains at Brunstane are already over prescribed + passengers left behind.</li> <li>5. Pollution from standing cars will be even worse than Corstorphine which for years the Council has been unable to control.</li> <li>6. Joppa + Newcraighall losing identity as they will be joining Musselburgh. Musselburgh council tax is far less than Edinburgh. Which area will they come under?</li> </ol>
I object to the proposals.	I do not believe the impact of increased traffic in the area has been fully considered. Improved frequency of the rail service at Brunstane should be a key consideration of this proposal to support the increase in population. At key times the traffic onto Milton Road east is significant and more substantial traffic routes to the South of the development should be considered. A controlled exit onto Milton Road should also be considered.
I object to the proposals.	This is a massive development for the area and will put undue pressure on Milton Road East. Also, I may be wrong in my understanding of this, but was there not a proposal to leave this area as green space when the building of the new Portobello High School was proposed - if the Golf Course and old playing field area was disturbed by the building of the school, was there not a suggestion that there would still be a remaining green space at the Brunstane area where this new housing development is proposed?! Clearly the building of the school has gone ahead, and now the remaining green space will also be lost.
I object to the proposals.	<p>Object based on loss of further greenfield site within Brunstane area, impact on transport / access in area , in particular access down side of Portobello cemetery.</p> <p>The existing train service from Brunstane cannot currently cope with demand, there is also no current parking for station, and no parking restrictions for train users who currently abandon cars with no penalty on Brunstane Road South.</p> <p>The Portobello / Brunstane area is in danger of being over populated , with existing developments ongoing @ Newcraighall &amp; Baileyfield</p> <p>Also concern re build disruption, in particular disruption presented by ongoing build at Newcraighall North .</p>
I object to the proposals.	
I object to the proposals.	<p>Concerned about the impact on the Brunstane burn green space, this is a lovely quiet nature area just now.</p> <p>Also concerned about the additional traffic in an already congested area (Milton road east)</p>

I object to the proposals.	Various but traffic and roads and the loss of the green belt
I object to the proposals.	<p>Although a good deal of work has gone into the design of this development, the fact remains that 1300 homes are going to be built here. This will produce many, many additional vehicles: I would suggest over 1,500. This is unfortunately not viable given the grid-lock that is currently endured by commuters on Milton Road and Sir Harry Lauder Road, and beyond, every rush hour. Moreover, there is already likely to be a development of 500 homes at Baileyfield, at the other end of Sir Harry Lauder Road. The traffic scenario at peak times along Sir Harry Lauder Road will be grim. The residents of Portobello and environs will have their lives severely impaired by the Brunstane development, which is likely to impinge on Musselburgh, too. This may be a well-planned development but Brunstane is not the place for it. The road infrastructure cannot support any more traffic. Although there is a railway station nearby, rail travel is expensive and does not go "door-to-door" in comfort, like a car. Improve the infrastructure, provide cycle paths and cycle parks to encourage the leaving of cars at home. A business park is a much more attractive proposition, providing jobs close to where people live, reducing road use by commuters.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>If this plan goes ahead, Edinburgh and Musselburgh are joined at the hip, with no nice green area to separate them. Traffic in Milton Road East, and at the site for the new road beside the grave yard, the lights at the Harry Lauder Link and past the new High School will be a nightmare. With 1330 houses planned what happens to flood water in Brunstane Mill Road as the burn will not be able to cope with excess. When it rains heavily now the water pours down from Newhailes Estate into the burn; with all these houses and concrete covering the site, what good will the suds ponds do if they get too full of water and collapse. Put new houses on brown field sites not green field sites.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>the current transport infrastructure in this area is already at bursting point. The section regarding local roads clearly states that this is a high volume traffic area. There are heavy tailbacks everyday during peak times, this results in a high proportion of local roads becoming grid locked as commuters use these as "rat runs" to avoid lengthy delays. The infrastructure is not currently in place to cope with an increase in traffic due to a massive new development. Both the primary and secondary access points are placed on extremely congested roads, it is already difficult enough to join these from local access streets.</p>

	<p>Response to Planning Application ref. 16/04122/PPP – Anthony Kent</p> <p>1)Greenbelt. The site is currently classed a Prime Agricultural Land, and occupies an area presently designated as Greenbelt according to the South-East Scotland Plan. This not only forms part of a boundary around Edinburgh, but maintains a separation between the communities of Musselburgh and Edinburgh and in particular helps to maintain the integrity and character of the village of Newcraighall. Approval by city of Edinburgh Council of development of this site would contradict the SES Plan as it is at present and would be open to legal challenge.</p> <p>2)Access Milton Road East. The traffic generated by approx. 1600 houses on this site will impact heavily on the traffic loading of Milton Road East. The pressure point would be the already heavily-constricted traffic flow at the junction with Sir Harry Lauder Road, where there can already be considerable delays, especially at peak morning and evening times. It has been suggested that another access might be created involving Daiches Braes, but this feeds into the same junction, so would only be suitable for cycle and pedestrian access to Brunstane Station.</p> <p>3)Access Newcraighall Road. A similar situation applies to the access via Newcraighall Road. This road already copes with considerable traffic flows between Kinnaird Park (Fort Retail Mall), the A1 and Musselburgh. There will be an additional load added to it when the development to the north of Newcraighall Village is complete, even without the development of 'New Brunstane'.</p> <p>4)Population impact on school, medical and dental services. While some attention has been given in the plan to the provision of social services including a new Primary School, there seems to have been little thought given to the growing requirement for Secondary education in the area. The new Portobello High School will already be nearly full when it opens and could not cope without further expansion. Similarly, the population impact on dental and medical requirements is likely to be greater than that allowed for in the plan.</p> <p>5)Groundworks associated with site development. Some major (and thus expensive) groundworks will be required in order to implement the plan. In the north, the access to Milton Road East will require an expensive embankment and bridge constructed to span the Brunstane Burn and its valley. The history of shallow depth mining in the area, much of which was unmapped, will require detailed investigation and possibly underpinning (by Grouting) of much of the site, as was done at the neighbouring site north of Newcraighall.</p> <p>6)Amenity and Nature conservation. The Brunstane Farm area, together with the Brunstane Burn, provide a variety of habitats for a wide range of species, and the burn valley, part of a wildlife corridor between the Pentland hills and the sea, is a designated Local Nature Conservation Site. The area is widely used for public amenity, the Brunstane Burn path in particular is popular and is part of the John Muir Way.</p>
I object to the proposals.	
I object to the proposals.	<p>If there is indeed a need for all these houses etc there surely must be an alternative site other than a green belt area. There are so few green areas in the city and they should be preserved not built upon.</p> <p>Traffic impact is also a consideration.</p> <p>And there seems to be loads of new building already going on in the surrounding environs already.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I understand the need for more housing but the impact of the number of houses proposed is worrying . There are already 600 houses being built at Newcraighall and to add a further 1300 in the same area is beyond belief . This is green belt land which separates Edinburgh from Musselburgh .The traffic is busy at present especially at the Milton Junction and the addition of more cars using Milton Road and the surrounding areas will be a nightmare . Along with the added traffic due to the opening of the new high school in October . The impact on the road infrastructure is very worrying. There are not also not enough doctors surgeries in the area to service this amount of housing.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>The congestion on Milton Road East is bad enough at present, the situation would be intolerable for residents if this application goes through. 1330 houses would mean many more cars using this main road.</p> <p>I have relatives living in the Gilberstoun area that already encounter huge problems trying to access Milton Road, cars are not willing to let them out and often block the junction.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>Traffic around the jewel is bad enough already. Don't think we should build on green sites</p>

I object to the proposals.	<p>I have an objection to the Secondary access which runs through the Newcraighall Village development (Newcraighall North).</p> <p>Currently, the only people who use this access are residents of the development. If you were to connect Bauld Drive to the new Brunstane estate, this will become a rat run for people going between the Portobello/Brunstane area and the A1 / Fort Kinnaird - especially as they try to avoid the busy A1/S Harry Lauder Rd/Milton Road East junction.</p> <p>This road also appears to be the easier of the Newcraighall side exits to access as it carries on straight from the bridge crossing the railway line, whereas the Principal access would involve several turns from the bridge.</p> <p>Barratt currently have plans to build a play park on Bauld Drive. Making this a busy rat run is going to make this a hazardous area for children to be playing in - especially as most of the Barratt Development will need to cross Bauld Drive to access this park.</p> <p>My proposal would be to have access only for pedestrians / cycles at the north point of Bauld Drive.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>This is Green Belt land and SHOULD STAY that way. The traffic arrangements proposed with narrow exit onto Milton Road East are LUDICROUS and will cause even bigger TRAFFIC JAMS ON PORTOBELLO HIGH STREET and UP MILTON ROAD EAST. As a long time Edinburgh and Joppa resident am so sad that our council see fit to RUIN the living experience for existing residents by building more houses than is sensible to meet a target that has been set by some anonymous body in the Scottish Government. IF more houses are really required surely the answer is to build a new town several miles south of Edinburgh with access to the new Borders rail line.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>Milton road at present is a busy road. This is going to make make it more congested.</p> <p>If this proposal goes ahead, residents in Brunstane bank will need traffic lights to get onto Milton Road.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>The traffic congestion is terrible at the moment, this will make the area unbearable. The road network can't handle this amount of additional traffic in the area.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I think the access to Milton Road East is too small and there is already enough traffic on this road. There are also enough private houses in the area what is required in Edinburgh is more social housing or as we used to say corporation houses, not everyone wants to buy and affordable rented proper should be built before more private housing.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>This is greenbelt land. It should never be built on. Let alone for ordinary housing - there is sufficient brownfield sites in Edinburgh - these are generally smaller, harder and more expensive for developer build on, but that is no reason to allow them to build on greenbelt.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>With the area of Portobello, Brunstane, Joppa and Gilberstoun already heavily populated, any proposal to increase the number of residents will have severe impacts on those currently living in the areas and for those who could choose to settle if the proposal goes ahead. With transport infrastructure already pushed (access roads to Gilberstoun and Daiches Braes are busy enough) and the last of our green belt threatened I cannot help but wonder if other brownfield sites could be better used to accommodate the housing needs of Edinburgh's growing population.</p>
I object to the proposals.	
I object to the proposals.	<p>The local infrastructure/area cant support a development of this size.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>East of Edinburgh is already congested enough. There are proposals for new homes on Baileyfield Road, the Wisp etc More houses mean more cars and the roads cannot cope with more traffic.</p>

I object to the proposals.	As I have stated on numerous occasions my main objection to these proposals are the road network and infrastructure around this site. I live in Gilberstoun and gaining access to Milton road and through the Harry Lauder junction at present is a nightmare at peak times. We now have the increase in students at the college, and very shortly Portobello High School opens at Portobello park. There is also the three new housing builds at Newcraighall plus the large increase in shopping and restaurant outlets at Fort Kineard, along with more new housing around the peacock tail area of Niddrie. I could go on and on about the traffic congestion around this area, but finally the new train station at Brunstane has produced a vast number of cars parked outside the station that is causing a real risk of accidents. While I realise housing is a need, I strongly feel that the area I live in at East Edinburgh has contributed its fair share. There needs to be some Green Belt left for pleasant fresh air walking and wild life to keep a balance. This area is at breaking point.
I object to the proposals.	This will add major traffic congestion in an already very busy area
I object to the proposals.	I think the transport statement is not feasible what so ever. These statements always seem to be textbook answers given in all proposals and they're unoriginal. They state that the development won't add further strain to existing resources or infrastructure (they will, it is enviable) and state what people want to hear to get the permission they need by stating things people are keen to hear like they've considered cycle paths & local transport links and included affordable housing in order to get the permission they need but in actual fact most people will have cars with the likelihood being more than one per property. East Edinburgh has already undergone and undergoing in my opinion too many developments for houses which I'm aware there is a shortage of but in a short period we have seen developments to the west, duddingston south and newcraighall all of which are using the majority of the same roads such as Milton road. Milton road is already heavily strained, it will undergo further strain and congestion when the new portobello high school opens too. I feel the proposed land should remain protected from housing developments. The local roads are already overwhelmed and under a great deal of strain. The focus should be on regeneration of existing areas or properties to maximise land already in use.
I object to the proposals.	<p>I am opposed to the development in principle because of the severe impact it will have on the area. In particular the impact it will have on the transport network which is currently struggling in the rush hour period the the area from the Jewel through to Seafield. The health system will also be impacted with no visible plans to improve this.</p> <p>I also believe the proposal is illegal. The Planning Acts require the ELDP2 to be consistent with the South-East Scotland plan (SESPlan) in which Brunstane Farm is clearly designated as greenbelt. This follows the SESPlan-commissioned "Edinburgh Green Belt Study" which concluded in 2008 that Brunstane Farmland, "plays a key role in maintaining separation between Edinburgh and Musselburgh and, as a result, there is no landscape capacity for development".</p> <p>If the development does proceed, Daiches Braes should be excluded from being made an access road to this, in the same way that no access from the Gilberstoun area.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I object to the building of 1330 houses on green belt land.</p> <p>The surrounding road would not cope with the increase in traffic.</p> <p>There would be a huge pressure on current health services.</p> <p>The new portobello high school has not been built to support this increase in pupils.</p> <p>Milton link is already a very busy junction this would have a huge impact on traffic around this area in the morning and evening rush hours.</p> <p>Damage to local environment and last area of green belt between Edinburgh and Musselburgh.</p>

I object to the proposals.	<p>Portobello has already had several new flats/houses built in its immediate and surrounding area in recent times:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•The apartments at the Kilns on the Promenade</li> <li>•The conversion of Phoenix House on Portobello High Street</li> <li>•The development at Newcraighall</li> <li>•The McCarthy Stone development of flats at Baileyfield Road</li> <li>•The new McCarthy Stone development of flats at Kings Road</li> <li>•The new Care Home at Kings Road</li> </ul> <p>The impact on the roads, local services and infrastructure has been immense. Portobello High Street is a bottle neck at the best of times and particularly so at peak times. Milton Road East at the junction of Milton Link is virtually at a stand-still in rush hour. Milton Link and the accompanying build-up of traffic onto the A1 on a daily basis can only be described as madness. Building a further 1300 houses and adding at least a further (at least 1300 cars to the already existing nightmare would be disastrous for the community.</p> <p>Edinburgh Council surely has a duty of care to the residents of the Portobello community by ensuring it is a safe and pleasant environment to live in. Subjecting the residents to the proposed huge development is totally unfair and will only have an adverse effect on those who already live in the area.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>There are a number of reasons why I object to this proposed development.</p> <p>Firstly the loss of this extensive green space - very concerning.</p> <p>Secondly the main access to this proposed development will hugely increase traffic onto the Milton Road, an already busy road which already has tailbacks around the junction with Harry Lauder Road - this junction already does not work well in particular for those heading to Milton Road from the Asda roundabout as there is not sufficient space to allow those who want to turn east onto the Milton road to do this without waiting a number of turns to do this - this would only increase, There is also the other aspects of folks to escape the Milton road cutting through the existing streets to avoid the main road.</p> <p>Interested to know which High school would be catchment for this development. Am assuming the new Portobello High school would not be expected accommodate the increased numbers so Castlebrae?? Plenty of mentions of a new primary but nothing on this?????</p> <p>It would seem EDI Group are owned by Edinburgh council??? I don't think people realise this - there should be an increased awareness of this and the allegiance of those involved in particular with Council elections next year.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>This proposal will have unacceptable affect on the environment on the road infractsure schools and doctors surgeries. Green field site should remain green the wild life has a right to be there.</p> <p>Develop the brown sites and leave the green sites alone. These decisions can not be reversed a day generations after us will regret our greed to sell land and houses at inflated prices thinking about today and not thinking about the future impact.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I think that Newcraighall has been exploited sufficiently with all the new houses that have already been built and the proposal to build more opposite the Barratt site in the area where the bridge had been removed. Even more traffic in an area that is already heavily trafficed.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>This ground is undermined by old coal workings that go back for many decades. With the loss of Portobello plans pre joining edinburgh city I doubt anyone can give the ground clearance to build houses on.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>My main objection is that the proposed site is 'green belt' land so surely that means it can't be built on. It all sounds quite straightforward so I'm confuse how this application is being considered.</p> <p>Severe traffic congestion would obviously be caused but I doubt the council would take this into consideration.</p>

I object to the proposals.	The immediate area surrounding this land is already under very heavy residential development. There has been far too much development of good farmland round the outskirts of this city which can never be replaced or recovered once built upon. There is more than enough new property available both in this area and in other areas and of older property suitable for Redevelopment. The present developments will ruin the amenity of this area and further proposal will further erode the character of the east of our city. Please - no more houses! We are already losing amenity ground to the new Portobello High School by apparently somewhat heavy handed tactics - we need to see some respect for the amenity in this area and for those living here. We don't need more towns, villages or developments and certainly do not need more congestion and concomitant pollution.
I object to the proposals.	
I object to the proposals.	dont know where to start I purchased a house in Daiches braes 3 months ago man reason dead end quiet street for my kids im concerned that the pick shows in front of my house a red dotted line which I am assuming will be a thorough fare? this is the last bit of green belt in edinburgh, there are already hundreds of houses that have been planned this year, loads of brown field sites crying out for development but obviously not in such a prosperous area houses will not be affordable to many people, wildlife is in abundance here and im also concerned about where all these people are coming from its a huge amount in such a small space, I know money will win out, I only hope I move before its becomes a reality, roads are so congested at the min 25 mins from Asda to my house on a good day :(
I object to the proposals.	<p>I have a number of concerns about the plan:</p> <p>The proposed site is the last remaining greenbelt gap between Edinburgh and Musselburgh, which should be protected when unused Brownfield sites remain available within the city.</p> <p>The development will put increased strain on local infrastructure - namely traffic and healthcare services.</p> <p>The proposed site does not integrate well with the public transport system and will encourage car use.</p> <p>The proposed site does not contain environmentally sustainable housing, social housing, or enough affordable housing.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>As I have stated in writing before, the traffic flow at the junction of Brunstane Road North and Milton Road East is already a total nightmare and with so much more traffic around, it can only get worse.</p> <p>As long as Brunstane Road North remains 2 way along its whole length, then no traffic can get down from Milton Road East, whether there are traffic lights at green or not.</p> <p>So I cannot see how anyone can say that there will be little or no effect to traffic flow, with a large increase of cars etc around this area..... Most homes have at least 1 car and many have 2..... The Mathematics can be done fairly easily..... With only 2 access roads to the proposed development, it can only be a traffic nightmare.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>Gilberstoun residents turning to and from the Milton Road are subject to extremely long delays at present as the traffic volume is so heavy; while the north entry area is constantly filled by motorists ignoring the highway code. This makes it almost impossible to leave our houses after 3o'clock in the afternoon.</p> <p>The additional traffic volume from this Brunstane proposal - plus the opening of the new Portobello High School and the proposed junior school - will only cause increase to the traffic especially at school entry and exit times meaning our access will be virtually impossible.</p> <p>All this additional traffic will also add substantially to the pollution we are already subject to as the traffic builds up. Because of the traffic lights motorists stop with engines left idling and pump exhaust fumes into the area.</p> <p>There are already signs of the wear and tear of The Milton Link, Harry Lauder and Milton Road so this will only get worse. Add to this the proposed housing at the opposite end of Harry Lauder Road and the road infrastructure will be beyond breaking point.</p> <p>The use of the railway station has only increased congestion into Gilberstoun with many users parking for the day. Was this not supposed to decrease motor traffic? What will be the result of the extra traffic - chaos?</p>
I object to the proposals.	I object to the huge size of this development because of the adverse effect this will have on the surrounding road system. Coupled with other developments in the local area as well as East Lothian the arterial roads will become gridlocked at certain times of the day. I also object that this development will close the gap between Edinburgh and Musselburgh which will be built on prime agricultural land. Until such time as all brownfield sites are developed in Edinburgh this should not take place.



I object to the proposals.	<p>I object to this proposal on the basis of increased traffic across the Milton Road East/A1 junction which is not something that has been satisfactorily addressed.</p> <p>The existing levels of traffic (particularly towards Edinburgh at peak times) are extremely heavy, and the opening of the new Portobello high school will just exacerbate this making it difficult for local residents.</p> <p>Additional proposals on how this traffic flow would be alleviated following the new development would be required to secure my approval.</p>
I object to the proposals.	I do not believe the local infrastructure - specifically roads, schools, doctors - can cope with this volume of new residents.
I object to the proposals.	Unsustainable traffic on the milton road already bad from the new high school. Important biodeveristy and greenbelt space.
I object to the proposals.	This is agricultural land and part of the green belt. The development will create pressure on surrounding roads and amenities and swamp the village of Newcraighall. Both Newhailes house and Brunstane house need to be protected as both are of significant architectural and historical importance. Access at both sides of the site are not suitable for this amount of vehicular traffic. This development will coalesce Edinburgh with East Lothian.
I object to the proposals.	It's unclear how these proposals would impact existing residents. 1330 housing units seems a large impact and if only 25% are affordable I doubt this would help the vast majority of people struggling to get on the housing ladder.
I object to the proposals.	<p>I would like to register my objection my objection to the planning application(16/04122/PPP-Land 445 meters north of Newcraighall road,edinburgh)for the following reasons:</p> <p>This proposed development will obliterate the last remaining green belt between Edinburgh and east Lothian and have a negative impact on indigenous wild life and biodiversity in an area that has seen a steady erosion.</p> <p>A once semi rural area is being systematically transformed and swamped by development, the roads around Portobello, Milton road and Newcraighall; already badly congested at peak times will experience total gridlock. The pollution levels are a major concern and in my opinion are worryingly not being taken in to consideration.</p> <p>I am aware that nationally there is a housing crisis and a great need for affordable homes and that local authorities have a duty to meet house building targets but it seems that a lot of the recent/ proposed developments in the east Edinburgh area have not figured in the proposed quota numbers stipulated in the ELDP2.</p> <p>This objection is not a case of a selfish "not in my back yard" or a reactionary resistance to change but based on the belief that this area is witnessing a disproportionate amount of development in relation to other areas and that the Scottish government legislation in relation to local authority house building quotas is being used by councils developers and an excuse to disregard existing government legislation regarding green belt land. Yes people need houses to live in but not at the expense of local residents health and quality of life or with scant regard to wild life, especially when viable alternatives that would not have such a negative impact exist but have not been explored.</p>
I object to the proposals.	The roads around this area and the traffic into and around Portobello are already massively overused. The infrastructure cannot cope with the existing traffic and yet the council keep allowing more and more houses to be built around here, it is ridiculous.
I object to the proposals.	traffic problems, loss of another open area, basically a small village - Portobello and Musselburgh will soon be merged.

I object to the proposals.	The encroachment on our green belt has reached overkill. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH. Let's address the problem at source and stop building ourselves out of unacceptably high immigration levels.
I object to the proposals.	No evident plan to ease congestion on access roads Area already heavily developed
I object to the proposals.	1)This is designated green belt land, once gone it will never return. 2)Traffic is already a problem at key times around the junction, between Milton Road and the Harry Lauder Road. This development will also cause a large build up in traffic heading down to the beach via Brunstane Road, which with cars on both sides is effectively single track road, with complication already. Portobello itself often has large queues going through it, this can only add to pressure already there. 3) Alongside the announcement of 500 houses at Baileyfield South, Portobello is at risk of becoming Very, very congested indeed. 4) Brunstane Burn is a local biodiversity site (LBS). Trapping the burn in a thin corridor of land between this new housing estate is likely to damage this fragile ecosystem further.
I object to the proposals.	This development would lead to severe traffic congestion on Milton Road especially as there are already long queues at times leading to lights at Milton Link. Traffic is already likely to increase on the opening of the new Portobello High School. Unless bus and train services are considerably improved this would be totally inadequate to cope with increased numbers of users.
I object to the proposals.	This is already a massively congested area and to add to that would be of no benefit to the local community whatsoever!
I object to the proposals.	Added pressure on education amenities, especially as the Brunstane Burn is the current dividing line and Castlebrae is quite obviously not good. Will the new High School cope? The traffic situation will be worsened to a great extent at the Milton Link junction with the A1. This junction currently suffers badly due to the design which has the westbound Milton Road East ( Council responsibility ) joining the A1 ( Government responsibility ) with the addition of Brunstane Road North and Brunstane Road South, including traffic from Brunstane Railway Station, and the complication of a bus stop right on the junction which blocks traffic. The adjacency, parking, and traffic of The Edinburgh College adds to the current problems. The current solution seems to be fiddle with the timing on the traffic lights and see what happens. I feel something must be done before any additional traffic from this proposal which has no clear solution to this major current problem. Please give some SERIOUS thoughts to these issues.
I object to the proposals.	The area around this is already a bottle neck any more developments will only lead to longer delays and more chaos. When trying to get to the Royal Infirmary.
I object to the proposals.	To many houses for the area , with one site in Portobello already under construction and possibly more at Baileyfield estate ,surrounding roads already heavily congested. Will new high school be able to handle increase in pupil intake, no shops in the plan, where will the residents go - to already busy Portobello or Musselburgh and with no buses in the area it will mean more cars on the road.
I object to the proposals.	
I object to the proposals.	I am worried about the pressure of all the extra cars on the roads. My children walk to school down Milton Road, it is frequently terrifying with aggressive driving and speeding. All the extra cars will increase the poor attitude we experience every day from drivers. Will the high school, which already has a huge role, cope with all the extra children?

I object to the proposals.	<p>It will create severe traffic congestion across the local area. It is green belt land. I am led to believe that EDI Ltd bought the land and now the council want to give permission to build to EDI which is a company owned by the council??</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>The roads are already blocked with queues and hold ups 7 days a week in brunstane, portobello, joppa, duddingston etc. 1130 new homes will make access through and around the north east of Edinburgh intolerable.</p> <p>Green space is vital to the existing residents for their well being, exercise and quality of life and must not be wiped out by new dwelling places.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>Traffic congestion is already a large problem in the Brunstane and Joppa area particularly on Milton Road and the A1 junction. I can't see anything in the plans that addresses how an increase in traffic would be managed.</p> <p>This is green belt land and it is entirely wrong that it is used for development.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>If this development goes ahead, there will be no green belt space separating Edinburgh from Musselburgh, does this mean that we continue expanding until there is no green space left around the city? The traffic build up in the area will be substantial onto an already congested Milton Road East which would be a nightmare at peak times. Although a new school is proposed what about other necessary infrastructure -doctors, dentists, local shops? I feel the quality of life in the area would be much poorer if this development went ahead</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>This will take away more open space from the area . We are already losing so much with the building of houses at newcraighall and further up at wallyford. When will it stop? We need open spaces. Plus the roads in and out to Edinburgh are bad enough without more traffic on them from this area</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I do not believe the surrounding road infrastructure can cope with this extra housing. Milton Road and Newcraighall Road are already at gridlock at weekends and during rush hour. They are already being stretched further by the new houses being built at Newcraighall and behind the Odean cinema.</p> <p>I no longer take my car to Asda on a Saturday afternoon as you can get stuck in the car park for over one hour.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>If the development goes ahead there will be a significant increase in vehicle traffic along the A1, Milton Road East which is already congested.</p> <p>The land proposed for building is presently used for agricultural purpose including the growing of arable crops this land is valuable for this type of agricultural purpose. If the land is taken this will be one less area for crop growing and other agricultural activity.</p> <p>Brutstane fields contains wild life (mammals and birds) if the land is built on this habitat will be extinguished.</p> <p>Government planning policy guidance throughout the United Kingdom requires local planning authorities to take account of the conservation of protected species when determining planning applications. This makes the presence of a protected species a material consideration when assessing a development proposal that if carried out, would be likely to result in harm to the species or its habitat. This requirement has important implications for protected species surveys as it means that, where there is a likelihood of protected species being present and being affected by the development, surveys must be carried out before planning permission is considered. Adequate surveys are therefore required to establish the presence of protected species. I am not aware of any surveys that have been carried out.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I am totally against this proposed development. The loss of green belt and the coalescence with Musselburgh are totally unacceptable. I have lived close to the above site over the 70 years I have lived in the Portobello area (Joppa, west side of Musselburgh and for the last 25 years Gilberstoun) and hardly a year passes without the council attending to fill in substantial sink holes up to 40 feet deep which appear without warning. Proposing building on this land without a full survey as the Council is intending to do is reckless in the extreme. Another major objection is the increase in traffic. The Milton Road/Harry Lauder Road is already in gridlock at rush hour. I understand the likely traffic increase should this development proceed is considerably above the Council's own planning guidelines. I have made a substantial contribution to the crowdfunding appeal in order to oppose this development.</p>

I object to the proposals.	<p>With all the traffic problems that have been encountered in changing the road layout and footpath reconstruction for the new portobello high school the congestion that will develop with the possibility of 1000 additional cars it does not look possible that the traffic will flow correctly without a huge impact on the traffic queues that will happen around the Harry Lauder road/Milton link junction. The cars trying to travel east into the city will never get a fair chance to get through the traffic lights. In addition how will a large enough junction be created that will allow buses to enter/exit onto Milton road east? It seems completely inconceivable that new lights at the new junction then lights at the Kings manor hotel then lights again at the Milton link then lights at the Christians then lights outside the new school then lights at Duddingston park junction then lights at Durham shops then lights at Mountcastle drive south/north will work is beyond belief, this will make that there will be 8 sets of traffic lights within approx half a mile give or take. I can't see how this will allow the traffic to flow. Does that make practical sense ? Once again residents will have to sit in traffic congestion when attempting to get to and from places of work. Also some people will try to miss this traffic and they will take rat runs through residential areas and this will not be safe. We are supposed to pay council tax as a benefit? I would have grave concerns also for emergency vehicles attempting to get along this stretch during peak times. It has been proven that when there is congestion drivers block entrances/exits to streets like Brunstane bank or Brunstane road this leads to frustrations amongst drivers. You expect local residents to be happy with possibilities like this? This should not be considered in this proposal. Just like the problems that have been created by the absolute havoc that has been caused by the City Fibre installation this has been allowed to proceed as the local authority is putting money into the project no doubt there is a vested interest for the local authority with this?</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I live in Brunstane and the traffic is already unbreable in the whole of the Portobello Joppa Brunstane area. This is a horrific thought. The proposed area greenbelt/farm land and will be a terrible loss to the area.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I live in South Morton Street, am disabled and have great difficulty using public transport, relying on my car to get into Edinburgh. There is already a problem with congestion on Milton Road East at the junction with Harry Lauder road/Milton link. Frequently there are queues stretching back from this junction to the Portobello cemetery making it difficult to exit from South Morton Street at the junction with Milton Road East. The whole area is already very congested,including westbound traffic,and not only at peak commuter times, but often in mid-afternoons due to Edinburgh College and school exit times. The opening of the new High school on the A1 will increase the congestion. In the planning document no mention was made of the South Morton Street junction, nor was the problem with queues approaching the Milton Link junction from the east addressed. I object to this proposal because the additional traffic generated by 1330 homes exiting onto Milton Road east will put an unsustainable burden on an already over-congested road network in the local area.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>12 months ago I moved in to the new Barratt housing development in Newcraighall and our house is situated on the main entrance road (Bauld Drive). Your plans show a "secondary" road to your site extending from the Barratt site and the road our home is situated on. This is detailed as western entrance from Newcraighall road! As you will be fully aware, this will not be a secondary route and will be used as much as the others, in fact possibly more as it will be the first entrance off the A1. There is a lack of pavements in our estate already and with lots of young children playing, including my own two, this is a disaster and accident waiting to happen and in terms of planning, it doesn't appear to have been thought through logically. I strongly resist this route. I would not have bought my house had I seen this proposal! This need's reconsidered and alternative routing detailed! Surely road infrastructure and the safety of pedestrians in paramount and this road is not sized for the potential number of cars that could be on it! Also the road does not have speed calming measures installed and already results in people driving over the speed limit within the 40 houses that have recently been constructed.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I appreciate the need for more affordable housing but am opposed to this development. There does not appear to be the infrastructure to support this- lack of doctors, schools etc. Traffic in and out of Portobello is very congested at most times of the day now. From 4 pm till 6.30 pm it can take over 20 minutes to drive through Portobello- this will be even worse. It is one of a very few green areas in an area of great natural beauty which are essential to maintain- no point in having houses if no where to exercise. There seems to be loads of ugly, unused, undeveloped factories etc. between Granton and Cramond- could they not be looked at instead? If this proposal goes ahead, I will very seriously look at leaving Portobello.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>Any development on this land is a disaster for this side of the city. We are losing the last remaining green land which separates Edinburgh &amp; Musselburgh. We are losing an area of agricultural, wildlife and historic importance. 1330 houses equates to thousands of extra cars on the roads in the area which is already at gridlock at the best of times. The traffic census which was carried out was flawed right from the start. They stated Milton road east is 2 lanes. It isn't. Vehicles park on both sides of the road and the road narrows at a number of points creating 1 lane. Thousands of cars will bring this area to a standstill. It doesn't matter whether public transport is provided (which neither LRT or first buses have said they will provide). People no longer want to use public transport. The majority of these houses / flats will own multiple cars. Edinburgh city transport network is woeful at the best of times. Another 1330 properties on this side of town will be unbelievable. Local schools and doctors surgeries are already at breaking point. Seriously what is the council thinking? Furthermore I find it morally and ethically wrong that the council should be allowed to make the decision about planning applications on a company which the council privately own. Conflict of interest!,,,</p>

I object to the proposals.	<p>This is so so wrong on so many different aspects but the fundamental one being the removal of prime beautiful greenbelt land which Edinburgh District Council should be absolutely ashamed of. The level of dishonesty and corruption that this whole planning process exudes is absolutely disgusting. What's the point of letting the community have their say when absolutely no one listens to the objections, greed and EDC profit seem to be the primary objective here and dissenters are just ignored. EDC are polluting Edinburgh and removing quality land which benefits the community, environment and quality of living - what a joke and is it any wonder you are no longer trusted to do the right thing and stop this before it is too late. What are you going to do when you have ruined this part of Edinburgh and have no more land to sell off?</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I have an objection to the Secondary access which runs through the Newcraighall Village development (Newcraighall North).</p> <p>Currently, the only people who use this access are residents of the development. If you were to connect Bauld Drive to the new Brunstane estate, this will become a rat run for people going between the Portobello/Brunstane area and the A1 / Fort Kinnaird - especially as they try to avoid the busy A1/S Harry Lauder Rd/Milton Road East junction.</p> <p>This road also appears to be the easier of the Newcraighall side exits to access as it carries on straight from the bridge crossing the railway line, whereas the Principal access would involve several turns from the bridge.</p> <p>Barratt currently have plans to build a play park on Bauld Drive. Making this a busy rat run is going to make this a hazardous area for children to be playing in - especially as most of the Barratt Development will need to cross Bauld Drive to access this park.</p> <p>My proposal would be to have access only for pedestrians / cycles at the north point of Bauld Drive</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>The planning department appear to have forgotten about a green belt. The amount of building that is going on around the city is unbelievable. The way things are going Edinburgh will swallow up some of our smaller towns. Edinburgh will soon include Prestonpans, Wallyford, Tranent, Whitecraig and Dalkeith to name a few.</p> <p>Brunstane should remain as it is to protect at least some real wildlife in it's natural habitat. Where would wildlife move too as there is no place to go with all the other building sites around the local area and around the bypass area.</p> <p>The Brunstane plot is also adjacent to a historical site of importance and should remain as it is, to give an idea that at one time Newhailes was in actual fact a great house which was surrounded by farmland.</p> <p>The local road infrastructure will be put under even more strain as the Newcraighall Road, which is narrow, tries to cope with all the new builds going on in the village at the moment, far less adding a further 1330 homes.</p> <p>I do appreciate we need more housing but we also need to protect our ever dwindling wildlife which plays an important part in all our lives, in more ways than is appreciated. Are there not more inner city areas that can be regenerated and brown sites used?</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I feel that the infrastructure of the area will not support further development. Traffic on Milton Road and surrounding area is gridlocked already at rush hour and the last thing needed in this area is further development. Although a Primary School is proposed what about High School facilities? Doctors in the area are struggling as it is. In the last couple of years we have had development at Newcraighall and Duddingston Park South. A lot of the Greenbelt in this area has been taken away. Please no more.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I think the whole of the east of Edinburgh is overloaded with housing and industrial estates it will run into Musselburgh and green spaces are going fast also traffic is bad enough when Portobello high school is up and running traffic will be a lot worse it can take up to twenty minutes already to get from the traffic lights at Harry Lauder road to the ones at Duddingston Park. Also Fort Kinnaird at the weekend is almost a no go area due to traffic not much better during the week emergency services must find this difficult as it is on route to the R.I.E. having been in an ambulance at busy time I can vouch for this. It would be nice to keep some green fields. Perhaps some of the empty units at the industrial estates could be used for housing as services are already in place.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>The planning proposal is for building on a green field site. Too many Greenfield sites are being built on in Edinburgh, upsetting the Eco system.</p> <p>Also the infrastructure is not in place to house all of these houses and a school. As a resident of Gilberstoun, Milton Road is extremely busy at peak times and having traffic exiting on to it would make it impossible for the residents of Gilberstoun to get out on to Milton Road! We only have one entrance/exit road. It is very busy at peak times at the moment and you have to rely on the goodwill of other drivers to let you out!</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>The traffic in this area is already overloaded and with this development and the proposed one at Baileyfield it will just be one big log-jam.</p>

I object to the proposals.	Absolutely Nuts the chaotic between traffic and housing alone is madness !!!
I object to the proposals.	Where to start? I thoroughly object to this proposed development on many levels, not least more destruction of Edinburgh's green belt and more corruption in the council. Cllr Ross clearly has a conflict of interests here and is set to gain. No more. This thoughtless building needs to stop. Yes, we need housing, but not here and not more poorly built, soulless developments on this ridiculous scale. And 25% affordable housing? Therefore 75% are NOT affordable? Not to mention destruction of the farm, access roads crossing the John Muir Way and a threat to biodiversity. ECC has an amazing opportunity to start to build housing in a more thoughtful way, to look at sites that need redevelopment, rather than destroying our already depleted green spaces.
I object to the proposals.	This will cause intolerable traffic. There are not enough schools, doctors or dental practices to cope.
I object to the proposals.	<p>Planning ref.16/04122/PPP</p> <p>I strongly object to the proposed development due to the severe impact on the local roads and services, at the present time during the morning and evening rush hours the time to get out or in to Daiches Braes can be as long as 10 minutes, and the proposed new development could only make this worse.</p> <p>The Burn Path , which at present is a nature and wildlife haven would be irrevocably destroyed and habitats that have existed for hundreds of years will disappear, never to return, the John Muir Way will be gone, and a part of Edinburgh history lost forever.</p> <p>Daiches Braes is a quiet cul de sac and the reason I wanted to live here was the safe quiet road, the Burn Path for dog walks and the fact that the pollution levels are reduced due to the open fields on the other side of the Burn. This proposed development will destroy all of that and my quality of life here, and that of my neighbours will be greatly impacted upon, even the air we breathe will be more polluted. I was told when I bought this house that the land here would never be developed as it was protected, but it seems that money has the power to change the promises made at an earlier time.</p> <p>The area of Brunstane is already quite densely populated and with the new school at Duddingston Park, now being built, it will bring more traffic to the area, and more families to some of the bigger houses, now currently under occupied.</p> <p>Brunstane Fields are a great asset to this area and should remain as they are for all to enjoy, including the many visitors to Edinburgh, who use the John Muir way in great numbers.</p>
I object to the proposals.	Please stop building on green belt land within the city boundaries - there will soon be no more open spaces for children to enjoy. In addition the current road infrastructure could not cope with the additional vehicles the development will bring.
I object to the proposals.	In addition to previous objections I have submitted has an environmental impact been undertaken? Despoiling such a large part of the greenbelt, set up both to protect wildlife and enhance our lives, would have a significant and deleterious impact on our local fauna and flora. 10% of British wildlife is at threat of extinction and Brunstane Burn along with the surrounding fields is home to many species, including herons and a wide variety of butterflies.
I object to the proposals.	<p>There have been sewage leaks into the burn at Newhailes and also into Brunstane Burn. Has research been carried out into the capacity of the existing sewage pipes?</p> <p>Milton Road East is congested already- additional traffic will make the situation considerably worse.</p> <p>The building here will join Musselburgh to Edinburgh, is the plan to merge the two areas?</p> <p>There is substantial new building occurring in East Lothian, notable at Wallyford and Prestonpans. Traffic from these new houses is likely to come down the A1 and add to congestion at the traffic lights at Harry Lauder Road, Milton Road East and Milton Link</p>

I object to the proposals.	While I recognise the need for new housing I am concerned at the density of this development and that access and exit onto already busy roads will create real issues. I'm also concerned that the green corridor between Edinburgh and Musselburgh is being virtually eliminated and filled with housing developments.
I object to the proposals.	Increased housing of this size will bring far too much traffic to an already busy area. For now, the streets are safe and quiet, something that could well be jeopardised. Further to this, it states that this is going to be Brunstane, and yet the only access to this will be Newcraighall. It is hard enough getting home from town centre as it is through the bypass traffic as well as Milton road, this would only make matters worse.
I object to the proposals.	It's bad enough getting out of Brunstane Road South as it is just now without traffic from over 1000 new houses entering on to Milton Road East further down the road and then heading up past the exit of Brunstane Road South. It will also bring more people trying to park on Brunstane Road South to use the train station because they are too lazy to walk the distance to the train station. Brunstane Road South is already bad enough with parking.
I object to the proposals.	
I object to the proposals.	there is no need to build on green land , there are many site around that can accommodate this on unused urban land. Portobello is already chockablock with cars and this will inevitable make it worse
I object to the proposals.	Traffic congestion on Milton Road is a joke at the moment trying to get out of Gilberstoun, will be horrendous with another 1330 housing unit.
I object to the proposals.	The development will use some of the green land/belt on the edge of Edinburgh and increase the traffic significantly, in an area that is already bad for traffic.
I object to the proposals.	12 months ago I moved in to the new Barratt housing development in Newcraighall and our house is situated on the main entrance road (Bauld Drive). Your plans show a "secondary" road to your site extending from the Barratt site and the road our home is situated on. This is detailed as western entrance from Newcraighall road! As you will be fully aware, this will not be a secondary route and will be used as much as the others, in fact possibly more as it will be the first entrance off the A1. There is a lack of pavements in our estate already and with lots of young children playing, including my own two, this is a disaster and accident waiting to happen and in terms of planning, it doesnt appear to have been thought through logically. I strongly resist this route. I would not have bought my house had i seen this proposal! This need's reconsidered and alternative routing detailed! Surely road infrastructure and the safety of pedestrians in paramount and this road is not sized for the potential number of cars that could be on it! Also the road does not have speed calming measures installed and already results in people driving over the speed limit within the 40 houses that have recently been constructed.
I object to the proposals.	We strongly object this development as it will impact it will have on the traffic congestion and all the services. Also this land should be left as green belt
I object to the proposals.	The proposals constitute an enormous over-development of this part of Portobello. It is admitted that the new developments on the old Electricity Board site will result in over-capacity at the Kings Road Junction. The current proposals will make this even worse. The proposals make no mention of their impact on schools, local retailers, car-parking, health-care services and the like. A very much greater focus on infrastructure is needed.

I object to the proposals.	The local streets CANNOT cope with the proposed extra traffic despite what any survey might show. This is greenfield land which should not be built on under any circumstances. The council owns this land and are planning on developing it as they need to raise money and they have no regard for the local area.
I object to the proposals.	the Transport Plan is lacking in credibility, it does not reflect the actual travel chaos at the Milton Road East/A1/Milton Link/ Brunstane Road junction. It can genuinely take as much as 45 minutes to travel from the Asda roundabout with the intention of turning right onto Milton Road East (at peak shopping/weekend times), the Transport Plan appears to think that linkage of cycle paths is somehow going to assist this. I have no issue with people wanting homes, save EDI need to work out a better access route to the proposed development, which would more naturally flow via an extension and development to the QMU junction off A1.
I object to the proposals.	<p>we shouldn't be building on greenfield sites when there are so many brownfield locations still available.</p> <p>Far too many houses/flats being built in such a small area, a case of cramming so much residential housing onto the land. from one of the maps it is clear there is very little low density housing with the majority medium and high density housing (flats), where there is a much greater need for family homes.</p> <p>No consideration is being given to the surrounding areas. 1330 homes etc will add to significant congestion in the surrounding areas like Musselburgh, Portobello etc. this is obvious already with the developments that have gone up already. I feel that your transport system under estimates the amount of car use that will arise for such a large development. One of the planning statements said "Ensure that new development minimises the generation of additional car traffic, including through the application of mode share targets and car parking standards that relate to public transport accessibility", this implies that minimal car parking will be provided and this will lead to people living in this development will park off the development in surrounding streets causing issues for the other areas.</p> <p>it seems like a lot of care is being given to ensure a nice environment is created for this area but little concern is being given to the surrounding local areas.</p> <p>regards peter</p>
I object to the proposals.	I find it astounding that these houses are being suggested without any thought to schools. Yes you plan to build a primary but what secondary school? It's not in Portobello catchment and with the new developments within Portobello itself there is little or no chance for out of catchment requests being successful. I cannot find any statement from developers regarding schools suggesting they are either criminally inept or just shy about the facts. I do not object to new housing but it must be supported by investment in appropriate infrastructure and I see no mention of it in the plans.
I object to the proposals.	<p>As I don't have a computer I have asked my neighbor, Mrs Wilson at 239 Milton Road East, EH152PE if I may use her computer.</p> <p>I object to this proposed Plan for more than 1300 houses and a primary school to be built on the green belt.</p> <p>This would make Milton Road East far too busy and dangerous to the elderly people living close to the proposed entrance.</p> <p>I also cannot see how this narrow opening would accommodate two way traffic. It is a shame to use up the only green belt left in this area.</p> <p>I am totally opposed to the Development of these houses and particularly the proposed entrance. There must be other areas of the city would benefit from new or refurbished housing.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I object for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Milton Road East is already struggling to cope with rush hour traffic and it is very difficult to gain access from Brunstane Road South. Every proposed new house will have a car, many more than one car, and the additional traffic will lead to chaos.</li> <li>2. The new Portobello Secondary School, which itself will already add to the traffic problems, is bound to have pupils from the proposed houses being dropped off and collected, increasing the problem even more. The new school will, also, be immediately too small!</li> <li>3. What is proposed is unlawful and is being challenged.</li> </ol>



I object to the proposals.	Principal access from Milton road east being challenged in relation to increased traffic & width of suggest route is very narrow therefore increased risk of accidents.
I object to the proposals.	The law requires the Local Plan to be consistent with the Regional (South-East Scotland) Development Plan; but the South-East Scotland Plan designates Brunstane Fields as Green Belt land. So if Edinburgh Council were to adopt a Local Plan which redesignates Brunstane Fields (from Green Belt to 'suitable for housing') then that would be inconsistent with the South-East Scotland Plan and therefore illegal. It is not surprising that public objections are being treated with such cavalier disregard by the City of Edinburgh Council - given the fact that EDI Group council owned. There is a blatant conflict of interest here.
I object to the proposals.	<p>Firstly, Edinburgh City Council cannot make an objective decision on this proposal, given their clear conflict of interest. EDI would profit from this development being given the green light, in turn providing profit to ECC. This should therefore mean that ECC should transfer the decision making power to an independent body.</p> <p>Secondly, I do not accept the independence of the assessment carried out on the site because it was commissioned and paid for by ECC - who will profit should this go ahead. This calls into question the methods and conclusions of the assessment. As the saying goes, "he who pays the piper calls the tune".</p> <p>Thirdly, the conclusions in the Transport Statement (which I believe are compromised given the conflicts of interest) are flawed. The statement that the building of more than 1,000 homes, plus associated units, will have no major impact on traffic flows in and around Milton Road East are laughable. Traffic volumes are currently very high. At peak times (as the assessment does concede) the line of traffic coming from Edinburgh stretches back along to the crossroads at Duddingston Park. Traffic on Harry Lauder Rd is also very heavy.</p> <p>Did this assessment of traffic take into account the new developments close-by that will shortly come on-stream, increasing traffic flow? I am referring to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The new Portobello High School. Traffic to and from the school will add significantly to the volumes of traffic in this area.</li> <li>- The new houses being built by Barratt's at Newcraighall Village.</li> <li>- The development at Shawfair</li> <li>- New houses at Wallyford</li> <li>- The new supermarket and houses being built at the west end of Portobello High St, opposite the old power station site</li> </ul> <p>- All of these will add large volumes of traffic onto roads already struggling to cope. Adding yet more traffic would be a stupid and short-sighted decision. Traffic blights peoples lives. It creates noise, produces noxious chemicals, and makes people ill. It's hard to think of a site in east Edinburgh less well suited than this at Brunstane to build yet more houses.</p> <p>Additionally, we are breaching the green belt. Surely it is the duty of the Council to conserve green spaces, rather than take a quick profit (direct to their own coffers in this instance)? Why is the Council not exploring the use of the numerous brownfield sites in the City?</p>
I object to the proposals.	Traffic will bottleneck on the Milton road. Loss of green belt land to local community.

I object to the proposals.	<p>Being a resident of Gilberstoun for 25 years, this proposal can only continue to make our lives Hell with the road access. Mainly due to the FACT that NOTHING has been done to conquer the problems we as residents of Gilberstoun and Brunstane rd sth, and Daiches brae face on a DAILY basis, whereby we CANNOT get in to or out of Brunstane rd sth on to Milton rd East, or from the same area, the BOX JUNCTION does not serve as its supposed to as motorists completely IGNORE this jcn no matter what time of day it is. This has been noted by the Council and for whatever reasons have fallen on deaf ears._x000D_</p> <p>The proposed New road access to the New Brunstane housing will add more vehicles on to this rd and will make our access even worse, as a council, youre supposed to address these problems but havent, and will probably continue to ignore Councillors reservations regarding this issue, namely Maureen Child, who has tried to address this problem and nothing has been resolved._x000D_</p> <p>I have in the past, suggested that there be a repeating Traffic lights system added at the entrance/exit of Brunstane rd sth where the Boxed jcn lies, and also a One way system introduced for Brunstane rd North access to or from this area, but NO, this hasnt been addressed either._x000D_</p> <p>I await your response, more in hope of receiving a response, than addressing the issues...</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I oppose to the development in principle because of the severe impact it will have on the area(the save brunstane greenbelt campaign has produced a briefing- <a href="http://bit.ly/SBGB07">http://bit.ly/SBGB07</a> summarises this</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I object because this is the last piece of greenbelt between Edinburgh and East Lothian and should be protected at all costs. We see deer, bats and numerous species of birds. All of these will be affected by building on this last piece of green.</p> <p>I also object because this will cause huge disruption to all aspects of the existing community which could lead to significant reduction of quality of life of existing residents as well as any new residents should the plan go ahead.</p> <p>Furthermore, which roads will be used to access the 1330 houses? The current homes surrounding the proposed site all have at least two cars parked outside each of them. I note in the location plan a red line down Daiches Braes which according to the key is the PPP boundary. Children play in these streets, they cycle up and down them, to and from school. Will this street be used as access for at least 1330 (perhaps double that) vehicles? At the moment you can't leave Brunstane Road South without queuing for quite some time at rush hours.</p> <p>I strongly object to this application on many grounds.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>Loss of last green space and will have a dramatic impact on traffic in an already busy area. Will add extra pressure on a already large high school</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>Local resident and it would obliterate my views.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>Increased traffic congestion on all roads in Portobello, Brunstane and Newcraighall areas. Local bus and train services are already struggling to cope with passenger volumes, new development will make this significantly worse. Congestion is already a problem at morning and evening peak periods on so many roads in the East Edinburgh area and on all roads leading to Fort Kinnaird and Asda every November/December. Loss of Green Belt, loss of agricultural farmland, environmental impact to area and wildlife, probable reduction in air quality due to more vehicular pollution. EDI, local Councillors, MSP and C Planning Dept are completely ignoring residents objections to this development. We don't want it!</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>The effect of this development on the two main roads will be to cause major traffic congestion. It will cause the streets nearby to become rat runs. This turn will have detrimental effects on the health of people living near these routes. This would include the children attending the new high school. This development should not be allowed on a green field site. The loss of this site means there is almost no green space separating Edinburgh from Musselburgh. Soon there will be an ugly ribbon development all the way to North Berwick. The high density of the proposed development threatens two historic sites and the ecology surrounding them.</p>

I object to the proposals.	<p>While I am not opposed to some housing development within this space, I believe that the proposals as they stand will create a traffic nightmare. Traffic is inevitably going to bottleneck at the few available routes into the city. I believe this is a golden opportunity for the council to do something more creative with self build and green housing development where we encourage in the type of house buyer who will choose to commute on the Innocent cycle path rather than the typical 2 car households. There is demand in Portobello for families with young children because of the community reputation and families with young children are often keen to live in areas where traffic is very restricted. We also have a slightly alternative, green reputation so again, that type of house buyer is already looking for houses in this area if something affordable were available.</p> <p>I gather Grand Designs and Kevin McLeod are already coming backwards and forwards to visit a new build near the old Joppa station. Perhaps you could get him involved as a green build would be right up his street!</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>Infrastructure not properly planned, traffic on Milton Road already extremely busy and loss of green belt land detrimental to the health of existing residents.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>The development completely changes the infrastructure of the local area. Residents who live here have invested large sums of money in expensive property, are hard workers who bring in vast incomes and tax revenues for the UK. We do not want social housing (whatever percentage) brought in this area. There are plenty of other poorer areas where you can build social housing for the lazy in the community. The current road system will also not allow for Milton Link Road to be viable any longer. It already takes on average 10 mins to get out of Brunstane Road South - a potential further 1000 cars will bring the area to a standstill.</p> <p>The local area of Newhailes and the house at Brunstane Hall will be destroyed forever. This is short sighted thinking as these are historical sites that need to be preserved with the existing country area intact. Build the new homes by all means as I appreciate they are needed but build them out of the city where they are not destroying local landmarks, landscapes and ruining the lives of hard working people who live here. This is not a council estate area and this development will in turn bring in all the ills of social housing people and no doubt there will soon be burnt out cars, muggings etc.. which all come from deprived areas. This is one of Edinburgh's most beautiful rural sites - do not destroy it for the sake of houses that can be built out of the city.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>The proposal for a further 1300 houses in east Edinburgh will generate a considerable amount of extra traffic that cannot be accommodated at the existing junctions. In particular the Milton Link/Sir Harry Lauder Road junction and the junction at the Fort. A proportion of the traffic will travel through Portobello adding to existing congestion and reducing air quality from traffic fumes and increasing the risk of injury to pedestrians and cyclist from traffic incidents. There is already significant increased development in Portobello and this development, if approved, will exacerbate the combined effect of traffic congestion.</p> <p>This part of the existing green belt is the last buffer within Edinburgh between it and Musselburgh. Until all available brown field sites are developed the only imperative for developing this site is the profit that the developer will make.</p> <p>I would like my views to be taken into account when the Portobello Community Council arrives at its position on this development.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>If I am not mistaken at least some of the land being built on is farmland currently. Even if it is not I am surprised we need as many houses. WE already have a large development at Newcraighall, another at the Wisp, one in Portobello, one up at Liberton and that's to name but a few of the large developments already underway. If the land being built on is green field then it should remain as such. There is too much of the green space being eaten up through greed of a few individuals.</p> <p>Where are all the people coming from that we need so many houses!!!!</p> <p>What happened to looking out for the community and protecting our green space. OOPS that went by the wayside when the Scottish Government decided to overrule the courts so they could build on the playing fields off Milton Road.</p>
I object to the proposals.	<p>I object to the proposals for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Lack of infrastructure to support the increased traffic. The roads around this area at rush hour are already too busy and unable to support the current flow of traffic.</li> <li>2) Greenbelt - this is supposed to be protected greenbelt land. There are brown sites that can be developed before this</li> <li>3) EDI - "arms length company of Edinburgh City Council". Conflict of interest. Also this company was initially set up to protect greenbelt land not to profit from the development of it.</li> </ol>

I object to the proposals.	I and many other local residents have already objected to the proposed development at Brunstane. I bought my in 1994 at which point I was categorica advised by the then developer that no further houses would be build close to the boundary of Gilberstoun 1 because it was Green Belt land and 2 because old mine works meant the bulk of the adjoining area was in a no build zone. It seems that now it doesn't matter that it's green belt and presumably the no build zone has been reclassified as build what you want zone. I wholeheartedly oppose the move to reclassify the green belt. It is a boundary between Edinburgh and Musselburgh, the proposed build will virtually join the two. The local area does not have the capacity for the number houses proposed. The road network cannot sustain the massive increase in traffic. Even now it's almost impossible to exit Gilberstoun onto Milton road during the rush hour. The borders rail service is pathetically inadequate and is struggling to handle the numbers currently using the service. Another 13 + houses will only add to the problem. Please act on behalf of local residents to oppose the proposed development.
I object to the proposals.	There are too many house being proposed in the Portobello/Joppa area and we do not have the road infrastructure to cope with the existing traffic, let alone even more houses.
I object to the proposals.	Green belt and wildlife will be destroyed which is very worrying and damaging to the environment Already heavily congested roads will not cope with the extra traffic - this is a hugely concerning issue.
I object to the proposals.	Traffic levels are already too high in the area at peak times and the new high school will exacerbate this further. One minor incident can cause large issues and the development of this area will be detrimental.
I object to the proposals.	The volume of traffic on the Milton Road is already problematic. Trying to get out of the Brunstane Road onto the Milton Road is almost impossible as it is. A proposed development of this size is going to cause even more traffic problems, congestion and chaos. How is the small village and road at Newcraighall supposed to cope at that side. There is already a large new development between the back of the Gilberstoun estate and Newcraighall impacting on the traffic. This has already taken away valued recreational and walking land. The proposed development on land around the Newhailes Estates is unacceptable. The land is not desolate unused waste land. It is beautiful farm land which numerous residents around this area enjoy and appreciate. It is understandable when land that is desolate waste or dumping ground is redeveloped for homes. It is definitely a good use of that land. But digging up beautiful farm land full of beautiful walks and wildlife is just criminal. When will the Council stop taking away our much valued countryside. I strongly object to this proposal.
I object to the proposals.	Too much of the green belt land is being taken away. At the rate the building around the city is going we will have no green belt land to be able to explore. The new Portobello High School,has been built on Common Ground even after many objections and a special Parliament act allowed it to go on. So whatever the public say these things seem to go through anyway.
I object to the proposals.	Volume of traffic on Milton Road East will increase substantially causing more delays and disturbances. At present we can be held up for 4 minutes at the lights at HARRY LAUDER junction. Traffic increase from East Lothian New housing schemes will also create additional chaos. New lights on Milton Road East will result in increased car fume health problems for residents. Senior citizens who reside in the locality will have increased dangers from the traffic volume increase. Loss of the green belt. We have already lost green space in the Portobello vicinity to the New school.
I support the proposals.	I think we need another local school and also this will create job opportunities for locals and provides much needed housing in the area
I support the proposals.	People have to live somewhere and houses are needed. Why not in East Edinburgh, as long as proper infrastructure and transport, school and doctor surgeries are also provided.
I support the proposals.	Having been a resident in Joppa for over 20 years I would fully support this proposal. To enable the younger generation to get into the housing market need affordable houses in a desirable area as most of the council houses are now privately owned. This area is also in need of a new primary school to accommodate the ever growing population. Having worked in the NHS for 30 years we are definitely in need of a new GP's as the older generation are living longer, younger families are moving in to the area which is placing increased pressure on the local GP's to continue a safe and first class service

I support the proposals.	I support this development as it's near existing rail services.
I support the proposals.	
I support the proposals.	I think the development creates great opportunities for people in the local area (particularly first time buyers). House prices in the area are expensive for people like myself whom are not yet on the property ladder developments such as this are ideal, especially if you wish to buy in the local area. I am extremely interested in this development and would hope the permission goes through successfully. I think there is a need in the area for additional schools with the current catchment option being brunstane or towerbank for myself something a little closer to home is much needed. I know people have complained about the green space being lost if the development goes ahead but currently this area is a disused field which is mostly muddy and therefore unusable green space. This development has taken these considerations into account and i feel offers ample parks, woodland, ponds, walk ways, play areas, allotments and orchard areas which will be made much better use of than the current empty feild. I am also aware that there have been concerns of the traffic on milton road if this goes ahead. In my opinion the traffic currently is not an issue in the area. Yes its busy during peak times but aren't most places? Also i think alot of these homes will be purchased by buyers such as myself whom currently still live with parents or reside in the local area and wish to upsacle their home. Therefor the traffic impact may not be as much as imagined by some protesters of the development. In having a local centre, and the construction work its self, there will also be more job opportunities for local people. Overall i am more than pleased with the plan and feel edinburgh council have taken the publics views and opinions into consideration after each public consultation held. I would hope that the development given the goahead and creates these housing opportunities, job opportunities and school opportunities outlined for the people in the local area.
I support the proposals.	Scotland desperately needs new houses. This development is absolutely crucial.
I support the proposals.	I have resided in Brunstane Drive for over 25 years and have a strong affinity with the area. I recognise the need for the construction of many more houses in the Edinburgh area and believe that the rail and cycle path availability on the area make Brunstane a viable choice. I strongly object to construction of these new houses being pushed into areas such as Dunbar, Tranent and Prestonpans as it places an unnecessary burden on those people who wish to commute or travel into Edinburgh.
I support the proposals.	I support this scheme because it will bring more primary schools that are desperately needed in this area. It will also bring affordable houses for people that wish to live in this area but cannot afford to. The plans look very good and are in keeping with the green areas.
I support the proposals.	I think there needs to be affordable housing and this looks like a good plan for the area.
I support the proposals.	we need more affordable housing in Edinburgh East. If it puts pressure on infrastructure we will just have to improve.
I support the proposals.	The land in question is presently - effectively - unused and these proposals make good use of it. I do however have concerns about the proposed number and location of access roads and will only continue to support the proposal if this is improved.

I support the proposals.	Given the huge housing shortage in Edinburgh I think this is a perfect space for new housing I'm a great area, however the road issues in surrounding areas would need to be addressed to accommodate an increase in traffic flow.
I wish to comment on the proposals.	I support this application. The provision of affordable housing is welcome. Glad to see a project that will provide jobs and housing for future generation
I wish to comment on the proposals.	<p>This is the last remaining piece of green belt land in east Edinburgh dividing Edinburgh from Musselburgh so should remain as such. Despite assurance in the master plan document, I believe there will be great strain on the surrounding road infrastructure especially at peak times. There are now only 2 buses that travel the whole length of Milton Road, the 44 and the 113. These buses are already full at peak times by the time they reach Willowbrae Road going into town. There is no guarantee that Lothian buses will add more frequent or new buses serving New Brunstane. Much is made in the plan of the proximity of the Borders railway. Residents should be encouraged to use the Newcraighall station as it is walkable and there is parking there. No of road parking and very little street parking available at Brunstane station.</p> <p>Is there a plan for a new GP surgery locally? Many surgeries have difficulty recruiting and retaining staff. Existing local surgeries are unlikely to be able to add more patients to their lists especially with other new housing developments taking place and proposed in the Portobello area.</p> <p>Will there be sufficient car parking within the new site for residents and visitors and trades and public vehicles?</p>
I wish to comment on the proposals.	<p>I object the above planning application for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The building of such a massive number of houses will have a detrimental impact on the traffic, environment, wildlife and whole community of Portobello and Brunstane.</li> <li>2. The plans are in breach of local building regulations regarding designated Greenbelt land which should not ever be built on.</li> <li>3. The traffic at Milton Road East and Brunstane is already very congested with queuing traffic at rush hour at the Milton Link junction and Sir Harry Lauder Road every day. I am a disabled person and use my car to get to work and the traffic is already horrendous without adding 1300 more houses, 700 more residents and 100s more cars.</li> <li>4. I live at Brunstane and these proposals will have a negative impact on the community life there. There is already huge pressure on local services, schools and parking in Portobello and we cannot sustain more houses and people.</li> <li>5. Transport links from Brunstane - the trains are already overstretched and overcrowded. The train from Brunstane to Waverly is often full and at maximum capacity with people standing. There is not adequate public transport to cope with this increase in number of people.</li> <li>6. The farmland at Brunstane is over mineworks and the proposals to build such a large number of over a thousand houses could cause subsidence and other problems</li> <li>7. The City of Edinburgh Council is set to make a profit from this scheme as it owns shares in the building development company, so cannot be impartial when making this decision.</li> <li>8. These proposals will ruin the community and neighbourhood of Brunstane and Portobello and cause overcrowding and massive congestion on the roads. I totally object to these proposals and am supporting the legal challenge against them.</li> </ol>
I wish to comment on the proposals.	My wife and I vehemently object to this planning application. It was originally bought by Edinburgh Council to preserve this green belt site. There are numerous uncharted mine shafts within the site. There are two extremely historically important houses, Newhailes and Brunstane, adjacent to the site. The addition of possibly 1300+ additional vehicles exiting onto Milton Road East can only reduce the already busy traffic to a crawl approaching the lights at Milton Link. The double standards card being played by the Council is beyond beggar's belief and I would hope legislative issues linked to these standards together with all the other grounds for objection will prevent this application being granted.
I wish to comment on the proposals.	<p>I wish to lodge an objection to this proposal on the basis of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- overburdening the local infrastructure to the detriment of the quality of life of local current residents.</li> <li>- detrimental to the landscape, environment and wildlife</li> <li>- merging Musselburgh and Edinburgh</li> <li>- and finally the complete conflict of interest between EDI and City of Edinburgh council, where the former is a subsidiary of the latter. This is not a neutral proposal and CEC will benefit.</li> </ul>
I wish to comment on the proposals.	I am all in favour of housing been built in this area firstly it will give a lot of people jobs and more housing available.

I wish to comment on the proposals.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Already insufficient traffic management/filter lane when travelling north on A1 and attempting to turn right onto Milton Road East.</li> <li>2. Already congestion on Brunstane Rd when travelling in either direction.</li> <li>3. Insufficient traffic management at cross-roads between Sir Harry Lauder Rd and A1.</li> </ol>
I wish to comment on the proposals.	I just hope it's not going to clog up the corner
I wish to comment on the proposals.	By building these houses, the historic environment, open space, Green Belt is not being protected. The Brunstane development will, if granted, lead to closer and closer merging of Edinburgh and Musselburgh with no green space in between. It will spoil the settings of two of the most important historic country houses on the outskirts of Edinburgh, Newhailes and Brunstane House. The space for walking, nature, fresh country air is reduced yet again. I sincerely hope this application will be rejected on these grounds
I wish to comment on the proposals.	<p>I live in one of the farm cottages at the current Brunstane farm (proposed development) and next to Brunstane house. I strongly oppose the planning application for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposed development is surrounded by historically significant houses and land on both sides. The development would impact negatively on the properties without any care for the preservation and enjoyment of our history.</li> <li>2. The land proposed to build on is the only green land between the two historical houses Brunstane House and Newhailes Estate. It is an essentially, relatively small piece of land which protects the properties and ensures the enjoyment of the history and land. This should be protected.</li> <li>3. The proposed plan involves a proposal to build over the remains of the walled garden pertaining to Brunstane House which is of significant historical interest and which is acknowledged in EDI Group's own plan would negatively and significantly impact on the properties and history there. By contrast the walled garden at Newhailes is being uncovered and carefully reinstated due to the acknowledgment it is historically important. It appears absurd that just a field away the applicant consider it appropriate to build flats over a historical site.</li> <li>4. The area concerned is the last piece of greenbelt between Edinburgh and East Lothian. It should be protected and preserved not only for its historical relevance but also due to the preservation of the environment in Edinburgh.</li> <li>5. A significant amount of money has been and is being spent to retain and preserve the listed buildings on Brunstane Farm including my own property. To build flat and build over the whole of the Brunstane would ruin the careful work that has taken place to preserve these properties and ruin the enjoyment of them in their farm setting.</li> <li>6. The proposed development is too close to the existing historical and listed properties and particularly with proposals for a large number of flatted properties a number of storeys high. This would destroy the character of the area, the enjoyment of the existing properties, blockage of beautiful views and infringe on the historical properties and protection of those.</li> <li>7. A number of other fields could be built on where they are not edged by historically significant properties and destroy the quiet rural environment</li> <li>8. The amount of pedestrian traffic going past our house from the end of Brunstane Road South towards the station very concerning. This is a private road and is not maintained by the council. It is a child and dog friendly area where families and people walk freely – the increased amount of traffic is very concerning considering that it is presently a historical and quiet area. In addition, the current transport systems could not sustain thousands more people so close in the area. The train station only has a small number of trains and two carriages per train. At present the trains are extremely cramped with people not even being able to fit on the train and having to wait for the next in rush hours. It could not sustain thousands or even hundreds more people</li> <li>9. The proposed properties particularly closest to Brunstane house are not at all in keeping with the properties presently there in particular the listed properties bordering Brunstane Farm (relevant plot). The proposals are for flat a number of storeys high and not at all in keeping with the surrounding properties in a quiet family environment. The proposal shows no respect or sensitivity to its surroundings and simply designed for profit to fit as many people into the area as possible.</li> <li>10. The proposed plan would greatly detriment the enjoyment of my property and infringe on my right to enjoy the property that I have spent a significant amount of money on, as have the other residents in the area.</li> </ol>

<p>I wish to comment on the proposals.</p>	<p>I have repeatedly objected to the above Plan.</p> <p>I live at 239 Milton Road East across the road from the proposed entrance to the proposed new development.</p> <p>The extra traffic which would emerge from this new road onto Milton Road East is unacceptable. I don't care how many surveys you have done there still be too many vehicles involved.</p> <p>As I live directly opposite the proposed entrance I would certainly be inconvenienced by for instance Headlights beaming into my lounge from the approaching traffic. Please do not suggest I grow a hedge to keep out the headlights etc. There were Trees in my garden when I moved in here and am not going back to that sort of life.</p> <p>The other inconvenience to me would be How would I get in and out of my driveway. I always line my car up on the middle of the road to enter my driveway and this is the safest way for me to enter my driveway.</p> <p>Also there are hundreds of elderly people living in the Sheltered Housing across the road from the Proposed entrance and you will appreciate that any extra traffic would be totally dangerous.</p> <p>I have sent a photograph to the Planning Department taken in my front garden to show how close I am to this proposed entrance.</p> <p>The whole plan is totally unacceptable.</p> <p>Most homes nowadays have two or three cars so multiply that by 1300 plus new homes and you will get a clearer picture of how congested Milton Road East is going to be.</p> <p>Please rethink this Plan and leave the bit of green belt alone. Goodness knows, there is very little green belt left in the City.</p> <p>Please concentrate on empty buildings and offices which could be converted into smart apartments throughout the City. What is the point of having all these empty buildings.</p> <p>I can appreciate that the ground in question belongs to the City of Edinburgh but please think carefully of how you are affecting real people. There is more to life than making money at the expense of inconveniencing real people.</p> <p>I</p>
<p>I wish to comment on the proposals.</p>	<p>What will the secondary school be for all the new housing.</p> <p>As a Gilberstoun resident at present the school is Castlebrae which is not accessible through the estate by vehicles. It is not within walking distance and requires two buses to get there.</p> <p>Will it mean that a new boundary will be drawn for Gilberstoun residents to attend the new Portobello high school which is within walking distance which would free up places for the new proposed housing.</p>